Inequalities for Polynomials with a Prescribed Zero

Abdul Aziz

Post-graduate Department of Mathematics, University of Kashmir, Hazratbal Srinagar-190006, Kashmir, India

Communicated by Oved Shisha

Received August 17, 1982; revised April 29, 1983

If P(z) is a polynomial of degree *n*, then according to a famous result known as Bernstein's inequality (see [3]),

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq n \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$
(1)

It was shown by Rahman and Mohammad [2] (see also [4, p. 370]) that if P(1) = 0, then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)/(z-1)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$
(2)

O'Hara [1] has given a simple proof of the inequality (1) by using Lagrange's interpolation formula. In this paper we shall prove, by a new, simple, and analytical method, a sharpened form of the inequality (2). In lieu of requiring that the maximum of |P(z)| on the right-hand side of (2) be taken on |z| = 1, we only assume that it be taken over the *n*th roots of -1. The proof is based on the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the following lemma which is also of independent interest.

LEMMA. Let P(z) be a polynomial of degree n and $z_1, z_2,..., z_n$ be the zeros of $z^n + a$, $a \neq 0$. Then for any complex number β such that $\beta^n + a \neq 0$, we have

$$P'(\beta) = \frac{n\beta^{n-1}}{a+\beta^n} P(\beta) + \frac{a+\beta^n}{na} \sum_{k=1}^n P(z_k) \frac{z_k}{(z_k-\beta)^2}$$
(3)

and

$$\frac{1}{na} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{z_k \beta}{(z_k - \beta)^2} = -\frac{n\beta^n}{(a + \beta^n)^2}.$$
(4)

0021-9045/84 \$3.00

Copyright © 1984 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved. *Proof.* Consider the function

$$F(z) = \frac{P(z) - P(\beta)}{z - \beta},$$

which is a polynomial of degree n-1. Using Lagrange's interpolation formula with $z_1, z_2, ..., z_n$ as the basic points of interpolation we can write

$$F(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} F(z_k) \frac{z^n + a}{n z_k^{n-1} (z - z_k)} = \frac{1}{na} \sum_{k=1}^{n} F(z_k) \frac{z_k (z^n + a)}{(z_k - z)}.$$

since $z_k^{n-1} = -a/z_k$. Now as $F(\beta) = P'(\beta)$, we get the identity in β :

$$P'(\beta) = \frac{a+\beta^n}{na} \sum_{k=1}^n F(z_k) \frac{z_k}{(z_k-\beta)} = \frac{a+\beta^n}{na} \sum_{k=1}^n (P(z_k)-P(\beta)) \frac{z_k}{(z_k-\beta)^2}.$$

Equivalently,

$$P'(\beta) = \frac{a+\beta^n}{na} \sum_{k=1}^n P(z_k) \frac{z_k}{(z_k-\beta)^2} - \frac{a+\beta^n}{na} P(\beta) \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{z_k}{(z_k-\beta)^2}.$$
 (5)

Taking, in particular, $P(z) = z^n$ in (5), we obtain

$$n\beta^{n-1} = -\frac{a+\beta^n}{na}\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{z_k}{(z_k-\beta)^2}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{na} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{z_k}{(z_k - \beta)^2} = -\frac{n\beta^{n-1}}{(a + \beta^n)^2}.$$
(6)

Multiplying the two sides of (6) by β , we get (4). Using now (6) in (5), we obtain (3) and this completes the proof of the lemma.

We are now ready to prove the following

THEOREM. Let P(z) be a polynomial of degree n such that P(1) = 0. If $z_1, z_2, ..., z_n$ are the zeros of $z^n + 1$, then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)/(z-1)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |P(z_k)|.$$
(7)

The result is best possible with equality in (7) for $P(z) = z^n - 1$. If P(z) and $z_1, z_2, ..., z_n$ are as in the theorem, then $\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|$ may be larger than $\max_{1 \le k \le n} |P(z_k)|$. As an example, for $n \ge 2$, consider the polynomial

$$P(z) = z^{n} - 2z^{n/2} + 1$$
 if *n* is even,
= $z^{n} - 2z^{(n+1)/2} + 1$ if *n* is odd.

Then

$$\max_{1 \le k \le n} |P(z_k)| = 2 \qquad \text{in both the cases,}$$

whereas, for even $n \ge 2$,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| = |P(e^{2\pi i/n})| = 4,$$

and for odd $n \ge 2$,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \ge |P(e^{2\pi i/n})| = |2(1+e^{i\pi/n})| = 4\cos(\pi/2n).$$

This example shows that for $n \ge 2$, the maximum of |P(z)| on |z| = 1 may be at least $2\cos(\pi/2n)$ times as large as its maximum taken over the *n*th roots of -1, even if P(1) = 0.

Proof of the Theorem. Since P(1) = 0, P(z)/(z-1) is a polynomial of degree n-1. If the maximum of |P(z)/(z-1)| on |z| = 1 is attained at $z = z_k$ for some k = 1, 2, ..., n, then

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{|z|=1} \left| \frac{P(z)}{z-1} \right| &= \left| \frac{P(z_k)}{z_k-1} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|1-z_k|} \max_{1 \le k \le n} |P(z_k)| \\ &\leq (n/2) \max_{1 \le k \le n} |P(z_k)|, \end{aligned}$$

since $|1 - z_k| \ge 2/n$ for all k = 1, 2, ..., n. Hence, the result follows in this case. Otherwise, suppose that the maximum of |P(z)/(z-1)| on |z| = 1 is attained at $z = \beta$, where $|\beta| = 1$ and $\beta \neq z_k$ for all k = 1, 2, ..., n, then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)/(z-1)| = |P(\beta)/(\beta-1)|.$$
(8)

By Lagrange's formula with $z_1, z_2, ..., z_n$ as the basic points of interpolation, we can write

$$\frac{P(z)}{z-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{P(z_k)}{(z_k-1)} \left(\frac{z^n+1}{nz_k^{n-1}(z-z_k)}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{P(z_k)(z^n+1)z_k}{(z_k-1)(z_k-z)}.$$

since $z_k^{n-1} = -1/z_k$. Therefore, from (8) we have

$$\left| \frac{\operatorname{Max}}{|z|-1} \left| \frac{P(z)}{z-1} \right| \right|^{2}$$

$$= \left| \left| \frac{P(\beta)}{\beta-1} \right| \right|^{2}$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| \frac{z_{k}}{z_{k}-1} \right| \left| \frac{\beta^{n}+1}{z_{k}-\beta} \right| \left| \frac{2}{|\sum_{k=1}^{n} |P(z_{k})||^{2}} \right|^{2}$$

$$\leq 1/n^{2} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| \frac{z_{k}}{z_{k}-1} \right|^{2} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| \frac{1+\beta^{n}}{z_{k}-\beta} \right|^{2} \left| + \max_{1 \le k \le n} |P(z_{k})||^{2}. \quad (9)$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Using now the 2nd part of the lemma with a = 1, $\beta \neq z_k$, we get

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{z_k \beta}{(z_k - \beta)^2} = -\frac{n\beta^n}{(1 + \beta^n)^2}.$$

Now, if |z| = 1, $|\beta| = 1$, and $z \neq \beta$ then $z\beta/(z - \beta)^2$ is a negative real number. In fact, if $z = e^{i\theta}$, $\beta = e^{i\alpha}$, then

$$\frac{e^{i(\theta+\alpha)}}{(e^{i\theta}-e^{i\alpha})^2} = -\frac{1}{4\sin^2(\theta-\alpha)/2} \qquad \text{provided} \quad \theta \neq \alpha \pmod{2\pi}.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{|z_{k} - \beta|^{2}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{|z_{k}\beta|}{|z_{k} - \beta|^{2}} = -\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{z_{k}\beta}{(z_{k} - \beta)^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{n^{2}\beta^{n}}{(1 + \beta^{n})^{2}} = n^{2} \left| \frac{\beta^{n}}{(1 + \beta^{n})^{2}} \right|$$
$$= \frac{n^{2}}{|1 + \beta^{n}|^{2}}.$$
(10)

This gives, for $\beta = 1$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{|z_k - 1|^2} = -\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{z_k}{(z_k - 1)^2} = \frac{n^2}{4}.$$
 (11)

Using (10) and (11) in (9), we obtain

$$(\underset{|z|=1}{\operatorname{Max}} |P(z)/(z-1)|)^2 \leq (1/n^2)(n^2/4) n^2 (\underset{1 \leq k \leq n}{\operatorname{Max}} |P(z_k)|)^2.$$

Hence,

$$\operatorname{Max}_{|z|=1} |P(z)/(z-1)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \operatorname{Max}_{1 \leq k \leq n} |P(z_k)|$$

which is (7), and the theorem is completely proved.

COROLLARY. Let P(z) be a polynomial of degree *n* such that $P(\beta) = 0$, where β is an arbitrary nonnegative real number. If $z_1, z_2, ..., z_n$ are the zeros of $z^n + 1$, then

$$\operatorname{Max}_{|z|=1} |P(z)/(z-\beta)| \leq \frac{n}{1+\beta} \operatorname{Max}_{1\leq k\leq n} |P(z_k)|.$$
(12)

Proof of the Corollary. Since $P(\beta) = 0$, we can write $P(z) = (z - \beta) Q(z)$, where Q(z) is a polynomial of degree n - 1. Set P * (z) = (z - 1) Q(z); then

 $|P * (z_k)/P(z_k)| = |(z_k - 1)/(z_k - \beta)| \leq 2/(1 + \beta).$

This gives

$$\max_{1 \le k \le n} |P * (z_k)| \le (2/(1+\beta)) \max_{1 \le k \le n} |P(z_k)|.$$
(13)

Hence, from the above theorem and inequality (13) we get

$$\begin{split} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)/(z-\beta)| &= \max_{|z|=1} |P*(z)/(z-1)| \\ &\leqslant \frac{n}{2} \max_{1 \le k \le n} |P*(z_k)| \leqslant \frac{n}{1+\beta} \max_{1 \le k \le n} |P(z_k)|, \end{split}$$

which is the desired result.

Remark 1. Letting $z \rightarrow \beta$ in (12), we obtain

$$|P'(\beta)| \leqslant \frac{n}{1+\beta} \max_{1\leqslant k\leqslant n} |P(z_k)|, \tag{14}$$

for $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. For $\beta = 1$, (14) is sharp.

Remark 2. Let P(z) be a polynomial of degree *n*. Then

$$|P'(0)| \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |P(z_k)|,$$

where $z_1, z_2, ..., z_n$ are the zeros of $z^n + 1$. The result is best possible as shown by the polynomial $P(z) = z^n + z + 1$. This follows by taking a = 1 and $\beta = 0$ in (3).

REFERENCES

- 1. P. J. O'HARA, Another proof of Bernstein's theorem. *Amer. Math. Monthly* 80 (1973), 673–674.
- 2. Q. I. RAHMAN AND Q. G. MOHAMMAD, Remarks on Schwarz's lemma, *Pacific J. Math.* 23 (1967), 139–142.
- 3. A. C. SCHAEFFER, Inequalities of A. Markoff and S. Bernstein for polynomials and related functions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 47 (1941), 565–579.
- 4. V. I. SMIRNOV AND N. A. LEBEDEV, "Functions of a Complex Variable, Constructive Theory," Iliffe, London, 1968.